## Commonwealth Split-site Scholarships (for low and middle income countries) 2018 selection criteria

_	ACADEMIC MERIT	QUALITY OF RESEARCH PROPOSAL	DEVELOPMENT IMPACT
Grading criteria	Primary indicators  Examination results  Academic transcripts  Tutors and referees' reports  Secondary indicators  Academic prizes or awards  Other relevant academic achievements  Publications, where appropriate	<ul> <li>Primary indicators</li> <li>Clear abstract of what the candidate wants to research</li> <li>Extent to which the work programme has been well researched, and the extent of engagement with the UK supervisor</li> <li>Quality of the research proposal, including innovation and relevance to current research in the chosen field</li> <li>Relevance of work in the UK to the candidate's PhD</li> <li>Evidence of strong research link with UK institution</li> <li>Secondary indicators</li> <li>Clarity of expression</li> <li>Feasibility of proposed research</li> <li>Likelihood of completion within three years</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Extent of the need: how the plan of research relates to development in the sector and country (and institution, in the case of university nominations)</li> <li>Expected outcomes and the projected timeframe leading to applied development</li> <li>The way and extent to which the candidate proposes to apply their new skills and qualifications</li> <li>Secondary indicators</li> <li>Reference to a national development priority, capacity shortage, or objective</li> <li>Reference to the selected CSC theme</li> <li>Extent of the benefit: how the impact of the potential benefits of the work might be measured (e.g. a typical beneficiary from the research described, or potential number of beneficiaries from the research)</li> <li>Past commitment to 'development' agendas through voluntary work, or leading or participating in relevant projects or programmes</li> </ul>